

More reasons to support the Arundel Alternative

THE CLIMATE CRISIS

The UK parliament has declared a climate and ecological emergency. Major new roads increase carbon emissions.

THE ECOLOGICAL CRISIS

A dual carriageway would sever habitats and push wildlife species towards local extinction.

INDUCED TRAFFIC

'Typically new roads lead to new journeys, filling up the additional space' (National Infrastructure Commission).

Increased traffic from a 70mph, dual carriageway scheme at Arundel would increase delays at Fontwell, Worthing and Chichester. The Arundel Alternative would allow traffic to flow without adding to congestion.

KEEP CARBON STORES

We cannot afford to lose existing carbon stores like woodland and wetlands by destroying them with new roads.

PROTECT THE VISITOR ECONOMY

Popular walks, views, wildlife and tourist businesses would be severely damaged by Highways England's proposed dual carriageway options. These valuable assets would be protected by the Arundel Alternative.

POLICY

The Arundel Alternative should be part of a low-emission, co-ordinated transport plan, including public transport, walking and cycling, which would benefit everybody.

ECONOMIC AND PLANNING CONSTRAINTS

The Arundel Alternative is the most likely solution to be affordable economically, and to be acceptable to the Planning Inspectorate because it is less damaging.

→ Join us

Support an alternative bypass that's better for our communities, our countryside and our planet.

→ Make your voice heard

Support the Arundel Alternative by filling in Highways England's survey:

<https://highwaysengland.citizenspace.com/he/a27-arundel-bypass-further-consultation/>

Please select 'Do Nothing', Beige or Cyan as your preferred route, and in the comments, add that it must be the Arundel Alternative, a 40mph wide single carriageway, not a dual carriageway.

→ Find out more

Further advice on the survey:
ArundelAlternative.org/survey

View the Arundel Alternative:
ArundelAlternative.org/route

→ Compare costs

All Highways England's options are expensive. Only two, Beige and Cyan, are within its £250 million budget. The other four cost up to £400m so may never be built.

The Arundel Alternative would be far cheaper, as well as far less damaging.

SAVE ARUNDEL'S COUNTRYSIDE!

New options for the A27 Arundel Bypass will devastate Arundel, surrounding villages, beautiful countryside and the South Downs National Park.

Please join us in supporting a less damaging solution:
[the Arundel Alternative](http://www.ArundelAlternative.org)



SUPPORTERS

This leaflet has been produced by a coalition of local groups including Arundel SCATE (ASCATE), Arundel Bypass Neighbourhood Committee (ABNC), and Tortington Local Community (TLC), and is supported by SCATE (South Coast Alliance for Transport and the Environment), CPRE Sussex, Sussex Wildlife Trust, Transport Action Network, and Friends of the South Downs (South Downs Society).

The new consultation

Highways England is running a new consultation about the A27 Arundel Bypass. It lasts until 24 October.

All six proposed options are for 70mph dual carriageways which would increase traffic and carbon emissions and severely damage the environment.

They would destroy outstanding countryside in and around the South Downs National Park and negatively impact on Arundel or surrounding communities.

What you could lose

- Ancient Woodland
- Villages and communities
- Arundel's watermeadows
- Rare chalk streams
- Endangered species
- Diverse wildlife
- Historic parkland
- Local business
- Beauty and tranquillity

Key

-  THE EXISTING A27
-  THE ARUNDEL ALTERNATIVE

HIGHWAYS ENGLAND'S OPTIONS

-  CYAN (OPTION 1 V5)
-  BEIGE (OPTION 1 V5)
-  CRIMSON (OPTION 3 V1)
-  MAGENTA (OPTION 4/5A V1)
-  AMBER (OPTION 4/5A V2)
-  GREY (OPTION 5B V1)

IMAGES

Photographs show areas that would be devastated by Highways England's Arundel Bypass scheme.

Front cover: Arundel and the River Arun; back cover: Binsted Woods. Clockwise from top-right: Binsted; bottom-right: approaching the Rife Valley, Walberton; Binsted; bottom-left, Madonna Pond; top-right: the river Arun at Tortington.

What's the alternative?

Local residents are supporting a far less damaging solution called the Arundel Alternative, which is a 40mph wide single carriageway, between the Ford Road roundabout and Crossbush junction. It has been designed to:

- Avoid current pinch points
- Improve traffic flow
- Minimise carbon emissions

It follows the same line as two of Highways England's options, Beige and Cyan, which are expensive, 70mph dual carriageways that would increase traffic and cause great damage.

By contrast, the 40mph Arundel Alternative is affordable and deliverable, and would meet traffic needs.

It would have less impact on the town and surrounding communities, and protect the high-quality countryside.

For more information visit:

ArundelAlternative.org/route

How to help

Please reject Highways England's scheme by filling in their online survey, and voice your support for the Arundel Alternative:

<https://highwaysengland.citizenspace.com/he/a27-arundel-bypass-further-consultation/>

When selecting a preferred option, we recommend that supporters of the Arundel Alternative do one of two things:

1) Tick 'do nothing', then in box 13, write that you support the Arundel Alternative: a shorter, 40mph, wide single carriageway road.

2) Indicate support for Beige (or Cyan), then in box 13, write that you do not support either route in their current form, but that you support the Arundel Alternative: a shorter, 40mph, wide-single carriageway road.

Further advice on how to fill out the survey:

ArundelAlternative.org/survey

